

Brian Sikes Chief Executive Officer Cargill, Incorporated PO Box 9300 Minneapolis, MN 55440-9300 USA

June 16, 2023

RE: Cargill's business operations in Russia

Dear Mr. Sikes,

We are writing to follow up on our previous correspondence with Cargill dated 16th January 2023 regarding potential inconsistencies between Cargill's obligations, as articulated in the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and the company's ongoing business operations and relationships in Russia that may contribute to, or be linked with, human rights harms. We did not receive a response to our letter. We are writing once again to formally notify Cargill on the serious and increasing risks the company faces by continuing its operations in Russia that may amount to complicity in human rights abuses committed by Russia.¹

It has been over one year since Russia invaded Ukraine and the devastating impacts continue to shock the global conscience and shake the global economy. Russia is violating international humanitarian law (IHL), including war crimes and crimes against humanity, through attacks on civilians and civilian infrastructure (e.g., mass executions, sexual violence, torture, forcible transfer of civilians). More than 24,000 Ukrainian civilians have been killed and injured and millions more have been forced to flee their homes, creating one of the largest humanitarian and refugee crises of modern times. In recognition of the severity of abuses, in March 2023 the International Criminal Court issued an arrest warrant for Vladimir Putin to answer war crimes charges.²

Moreover, recent developments in Russia point to an expanding universe of financial, legal, and reputational risks facing those left behind.

On September 21, President Vladimir Putin escalated the war by announcing a "partial mobilisation" of the Russian population. The accompanying legislation (<u>Article 9 of Federal Law No. 31-FZ</u>) mandates all organisations, including the more than 1,500 international companies that are currently operating on a full or limited scale in Russia, to conduct military registration of the staff if at least one

¹ Andrew Clapham and Scott Jerbi, "Categories of Corporate Complicity in Human Rights Abuses," March 21-22, 2001.

https://media.business-humanrights.org/media/documents/files/reports-and-materials/Clapham-Jerbi-paper.htm (accessed May 4, 2023).

² International Criminal Court, "Situation in Ukraine: ICC judges issue arrest warrants against Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin and Maria Alekseyevna Lvova-Belova," March 17, 2023, https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/situation-ukraine-icc-judges-issue-arrest-warrants-against-vladimir-vladimirovich-putin-and (accessed March 22, 2023).

of the employees is eligible for military service.³ They must also assist with delivering the military summons to their employees, ensure the delivery of equipment to assembly points or military units, and provide information, buildings, communications, land plots, transport, and other material means of support to the war effort.

A new decree issued by President Vladimir Putin on March 3, 2023, enables the Russian government to suspend shareholders' rights and implement external management in companies that don't fulfil state defence contracts under conditions of martial law.⁴ By specifying the process of appointing Russian government representatives to manage businesses that fail to meet state orders, the latest Decree effectively creates a scenario of "partial nationalization." The latest information indicates that the Kremlin is introducing further legislation that would make the measures to fully nationalise assets of foreign companies not adhering to Putin's rules even more draconian. Some state that the "nationalisation is inevitable."⁵

With new legislation introducing partial mobilisation, nationalisation, and potentially martial law in Russia, it is highly likely that corporations will be unable to prevent or mitigate negative human rights impacts, an obligation imposed on companies by the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. As such, continuing to conduct business in Russia entails significant legal risks for companies, including potential civil and criminal liability under comprehensive sanctions regimes and recent international jurisprudence holding corporations and their officers responsible for human rights abuses abroad. Companies face the rising risk of criminal liability for complicity in

https://www.justsecurity.org/78097/corporate-criminal-liability-for-human-rights-violations-france-and-swede n-are-poised-to-take-historic-steps-forward/ (accessed November 14, 2022); The Sentry, "Breaking: France Opens War Crimes Inquiry Focused on Iconic Food and Beverage Conglomerate," July 1, 2022, https://thesentry.org/2022/07/01/7216/breaking-france-opens-war-crimes-inquiry-focused-iconic-food-bevera ge-conglomerate/ (accessed November 14, 2022); *Rfi*, "French technology firm charged over Libya cyber-spying," July 2, 2022,

https://www.rfi.fr/en/business-and-tech/20210701-french-tech-firm-charged-over-libya-cyber-spying (accessed November 14, 2022); Preston Lim, "Canadian Supreme Court Allows Corporate Liability for International Law Violations," *Lawfare*, March 12, 2022,

https://www.lawfareblog.com/canadian-supreme-court-allows-corporate-liability-international-law-violations (accessed November 14, 2022); Sherpa, "Aiding and abetting war crimes in Yemen: Criminal complaint submitted against French arms companies," June 2, 2022,

https://www.asso-sherpa.org/aiding-and-abetting-war-crimes-in-yemen-criminal-complaint-submitted-against-french-arms-companies (accessed November 14, 2022).

³ Federal Law No. 31-FZ of February 26, 1997 "On mobilization training and mobilization in the Russian Federation" (as amended), https://base.garant.ru/136945/ (accessed November 14, 2022).

⁴ Decree of the President of the Russian Federation No. 139 dated March 3, 2023 "On Certain Issues of Carrying Out the Activities of Business Companies Participating in the Fulfilment of the State Defense Order", http://publication.pravo.gov.ru/Document/View/0001202303030004 (accessed March 22, 2023).

⁵ Max Seddon and Anastasia Stognei, "Russia moves to seize 'naughty' western companies," Financial Times, June 15, 2023, https://www.ft.com/content/cd627211-68f6-4dfa-8a04-3344deee2e805 (accessed June 15, 2023).

⁶ International companies remaining in Russia are now at a greater risk of violating sanctions regimes as implementation of the legislation will likely involve transacting with sanctioned individuals or entities. Furthermore, new domestic civil and criminal cases against companies involved in violations of international law demonstrate the risk of significant liability for facilitating state-sponsored human rights abuses abroad (e.g., Lafarge case, Lundin case, Castel Group indictment, Nevsun holding, and Dassault Aviation, Thales, and MBDA France criminal complaint.) Victoria Riello and Larissa Furtwengler, "Corporate Criminal Liability for International Crimes: France and Sweden Are Poised To Take Historic Steps Forward," *Just Security*, September 6, 2021,

international crimes, which can be prosecuted by domestic courts outside Russia under the doctrine of "universal jurisdiction."⁷

On 24 February 2023, The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) suspended Russia's membership as a result of the war, calling on all actors in the international financial system to exercise extreme caution in all dealings with Russia. In practice, the decision means that all international banks will scrutinise all Russian payments, making financial transactions more expensive, lengthy, with no guarantee that the transaction will occur at all. Although FATF has not yet blacklisted Russia, it highlighted the consensus among its 36 member countries that "the Russian federation's actions represent a gross violation of the commitment to international cooperation upon which FATF Members have agreed to implement and support the FATF Standards." Previous practice shows that noncooperative behaviour is one of the reasons for FATF blacklisting. As a result, companies should examine and mitigate the high levels of risk attached to financial transactions with Russia and based on that risk, companies should reconsider all ongoing business operations related to Russia.

Companies may also be exposed to financially material risks through operational restrictions, such as limitations of future government contracts.¹¹

Additionally, the Ukrainian government's <u>National Agency on Corruption Prevention</u> (NACP) is creating a list of "foreign companies that, despite the international recognition of Russia as the aggressor state and the introduced sanctions restrictions, continue to cooperate with it." These companies are recognised as international sponsors of war. The listed entities will be included into the World-Check database to protect the global financial sector from Russian sponsors of war. Since banks and insurance companies use World-check to assess risks, companies on the list will be limited in freely accessing personal and corporate finances. So far there are 29 companies on the list, but the NACP notes that it will be expanded with "international companies that provide the public and private sector with goods and services of critical purpose, as well as [those that] contribute to the Russian budget."

⁷ For example, ongoing proceedings in the US and France against the French multinational Lafarge for complicity in human rights violations in Syria. The Paris Court of Appeal, "La Cour d'appel de Paris confirme la mise en état de la multinationale française Lafarge pour complicité de crimes contre l'humanité commis par l'Etat islamique," May 18, 2022,

https://www.doughtystreet.co.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/Press%20release%20french%20version.pdf (accessed March 22, 2023); United States Attorney's Office, Eastern District of New York, "Lafarge Pleads Guilty to Conspiring to Provide Material Support to Foreign Terrorist Organizations," October 18, 2022, https://www.justice.gov/usao-edny/pr/lafarge-pleads-guilty-conspiring-provide-material-support-foreign-terroris (accessed March 22, 2023).

⁸ FATF, "FATF Statement on the Russian Federation," February 24, 2023, https://www.fatf-gafi.org/en/publications/Fatfgeneral/fatf-statement-russian-federation.html (accessed March 14, 2023).

⁹ Liudmyla Slieptsova, "Russia's membership in the FATF suspended. What does this mean and how ruinous is this for the aggressor's economy?," *Mind*, February 27, 2023, https://mind.ua/en/publications/20253993-russias-membership-in-the-fatf-suspended-what-does-this-mean-and-how-ruinous-is-this-for-the-aggre (accessed March 14, 2023).

¹⁰ FATF (n 8).

¹¹ Venable LLP, "Do You Contract with State Governments? If So, Beware of Emerging State Sanctions' Obligations Related to Russia and Belarus," *JD Supra*, June 3, 2022, https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/do-you-contract-with-state-governments-6537229/ (accessed November 14, 2022).

¹² NACP, "International Sponsors of War," https://sanctions.nazk.gov.ua/en/boycott/ (accessed February 6, 2023).

¹³ NACP, "Companies from the NACP list of "International Sponsors of War" are now in the World-check database, used worldwide for reviewing counterparties," September 7, 2022,

Companies that maintain business relationships with Russia risk being perceived as supporting Russia's war effort. This could have a negative long-term impact on sales in other countries and attract investor scrutiny over adherence to ESG principles. The longer it takes for brands to extricate themselves from the situation, the more damaging it will be for their reputation.

In response to this unprovoked and unjustified war¹⁴ many other companies have already left Russia. According to the Kyiv School of Economics Institute's #LeaveRussia company tracker, Cargill has decided to stay and continue its operations in Russia.

Considering these risks and the company's continued presence in Russia, we would like to pose the following questions to Cargill:

- 1. On March 11, 2022, Cargill announced that it will "continue to operate our essential food and feed facilities in Russia [...] This region plays a significant role in our global food system and is a critical source for key ingredients in basic staples like bread, infant formula and cereal." In the same statement, Cargill announced that it is "scaling back business activities there and have stopped investment." The scope of this pledge remained undefined.
 - -Can Cargill provide its definition and list of goods it considers essential in light of the particular circumstances of this conflict?
 - -Can Cargill provide a list of goods that it stopped producing since the outbreak of the war because they are not considered essential?
 - -Has Cargill considered whether its goods can be replaced with local substitutes?
 - -Which stakeholders has Cargill engaged with in determining its policies and the decision to stay in the market?
- 2. While we do not deny that some goods and foodstuffs are essential in preventing food shortage and undernutrition of the local population, in determining which products are essential, businesses should consider the actual needs of the affected population while balancing this consideration with the potential harm their continued presence is causing. Businesses should consider the availability of other sources of food, the availability of local substitutes, and the potential human rights risks and impacts associated with their operations. Furthermore, when determining the scope and meaning of essential goods, it is crucial to apply a contextual, conflict-sensitive approach. In the context of the war in Ukraine and ongoing operations in Russia, the concept of essentiality should prioritise items such as life-saving medicines that are not manufactured in Russia, while excluding goods such as food and hygiene items that are readily available locally. The continued production, distribution, and import of goods that are available/easily substituted locally and non-essential, in a way that their absence would not be life-threatening to the local population, indirectly perpetuates the conflict by sustaining the resources that enable Russian aggression.
 - Has Cargill considered all the circumstances and complexities of the Russo-Ukrainian war, including numerous human rights violations and war crimes committed in Ukraine, as well

https://nazk.gov.ua/en/news/companies-from-the-nacp-list-of-international-sponsors-of-war-are-now-in-the-world-check-database-used-worldwide-for-reviewing-counterparties/?hilite=sponsor+of+war (accessed February 6. 2023).

¹⁴ The UN General Assembly condemned Russia's "aggression against Ukraine" and demanded that Moscow "unconditionally withdraw all of its military forces from the territory of Ukraine within its internationally recognized borders."

¹⁵ Cargill, "Statement on Cargill Operations in Eastern Europe," March 1, 2022, https://www.cargill.com/story/statement-on-cargill-operations-in-eastern-europe (accessed June 14, 2023). ¹⁶ Ibid.

as the fact that Russia is an aggressor state, in determining to continue providing its goods within Russia?

- 3. As a reminder, Bonduelle and Auchan have recently faced significant backlash because their goods were sent to Russian troops in Ukraine, some with notes wishing "a speedy victory." Both companies deny these allegations.
 - -How has Cargill practised due diligence in preventing the direct supply of its products to the Russian military?
 - -Does Cargill have policies, mechanisms, and tools in place to carry out enhanced due diligence of supply chains, intermediaries, customers, and end-users to prevent the supply of goods to the Russian army?
 - -If so, how were they utilised for defining business connections that involve the Russian government, its agencies, and state-controlled or sanctioned organisations?
- 4. It was recently reported that Cargill's Russian subsidiary will stop exporting grain from Russia in the next agricultural year, starting on July 1, 2023, and lasting until June 30, 2024. At the same time, Cargill allegedly confirmed that there are no planned changes to "any other activities and assets of Cargill in Russia."
 - In our first letter to Cargill, we noted: "Prior to the war, the Ukrainian exports of wheat and grain were flourishing, while Russian exports were falling. As local media sources report, Russian exports increased dramatically because of the war, providing further financial resources to support Russia's unlawful invasion of Ukraine.²⁰ Cargill is a key actor in this development."²¹
 - -Can Cargill confirm that it will stop exporting grain in the next agricultural year from Russia?
 - -By continuing other activities, some are claiming that Cargill is holding on to its market share.²² Did Cargill consider suspending other operations in Russia?
 - -How does Cargill comment on the decrease of Ukrainian operations, while continuing business in Russia, despite claiming to support the Ukrainian people?
- 5. We understand and agree that Cargill has obligations towards its Russian employees. Cargill likewise states that it prioritises its employees' safety.²³ These obligations are laid out under the UNGPs and in the wider international human rights legal and regulatory framework.

¹⁷ Euromaidan Press, "Bonduelle accused of gifting 10,000 food kits to Russian troops; French office denies," January 3, 2023,

https://euromaidanpress.com/2023/01/03/french-bonduelle-gifts-10000-food-kits-to-russian-troops-wishes-them-victory/ (accessed May 4, 2023); Business and Human Rights Resource Centre, "New evidence reveals Auchan was fully aware of destination of its goods supplied to Russian army," March 3, 2023, https://www.business-humanrights.org/en/latest-news/russia-auchan-allegedly-supports-soldiers-fighting-in-u

kraine-despite-french-management-denials-recent-investigation-reveals-more-proofs/ (accessed May 4, 2023). ¹⁸ Elena Sukhorukova, "One of the world's largest suppliers will stop grain exports from Russia," March 29, 2023, https://www.rbc.ru/business/29/03/2023/642347359a79478fddf671fa (accessed June 14, 2023).

²⁰ Tom Ball, "Russia is winning battle to control the world's wheat," The Times, May 28, 2022, https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/russia-is-winning-battle-to-control-the-worlds-wheat-wzvctlhjm (accessed December 5, 2022).

²¹ B4Ukraine, "Letter to Cargill," January 16, 2023, https://b4ukraine.org/pdf/Cargill 2023 1 16.pdf (accessed June 14, 2023).

²² Chloe Sorvino, "Cargill Dodges Russian Missiles But Vows To Keep Feeding Both Sides Of The Ukraine War," Forbes, March 29, 2022,

 $[\]frac{https://www.forbes.com/sites/chloesorvino/2022/03/29/cargill-dodges-russianmissiles-but-vows-to-keep-feeding-both-sides-of-the-ukraine-war/?sh=7657b03c795c (accessed June 14, 2022).$

²³ Cargill (n 14).

- -Can Cargill clarify how it has used this framework to minimise the risks and impacts to its employees?
- -How has the company applied heightened human rights due diligence to its operations in Russia considering the new Russian legislation requiring businesses to help conduct military registration, deliver the summons to its employees, and provide resources where required?
- -Has Cargill received any such requests, and if so, how has the company responded to them?
- -What is Cargill doing to safeguard its employees from mobilisation?
- -Have any of your employees been mobilised and, if so, what was Cargill's role in the process?
- 6. The safety of employees is and should be a considerable point of concern and obligations for companies. But in light of the specific context of the illegal war on Ukraine, there are other options for the safeguarding of employees that can and have been used by other international companies in Russia. Many companies have offered relocation packages, or contractual clauses which condition the continued employment and safety of employees remaining in Russia. For example, if Cargill had decided to sell its Russian business it could have included contractual clauses to ensure the continued safety of its employees, as previously exemplified by the actions of the French Publicis Groupe who employed 1,200 people in the country.
 - -Has Cargill considered any of these or other options before deciding to continue operations in Russia?
- 7. Despite Cargill's promise to scale back its operations, the company continues to list job positions in the country.²⁴
 - -How does Cargill reconcile its statements on scaling back its operations with these ongoing employment opportunities in Russia?
 - -How does Cargill reconcile its statements on employee wellbeing with continued employment opportunities in light of the new Russian legislation obliging companies to help with the military conscription of its employees?
- 8. Cargill is one of the largest foreign companies still operating in Russia. In 2022, the company's annual revenue in Russia hit \$2.1bn,²⁵ which constitutes a growth compared to its revenue in 2021. Considering its significant position in the Russian economy, Cargill is also a significant taxpayer in Russia. This could make the company indirectly involved in financing Russian aggression since corporate taxes are currently estimated to make up approximately 10% of Russia's GDP enough to fund 43% of Russia's military budget. Therefore, taxes paid by companies who stay in Russia indirectly contribute to the war.
 - -How much tax has Cargill paid in Russia in 2022 and 2023?
 - -Can Cargill confirm that its revenue in 2022 amounted to £2.1bn?
- 9. Cargill boasts an impressive portfolio of globally recognized brands. By choosing to continue operating in Russia, the company inadvertently grants the regime a sense of legitimacy and

²⁴ Cargill, "Jobs at Cargill,"

²⁵ 21 KSE - Leave Russia, "Cargill," https://leave-russia.org/cargill (accessed June 16, 2023).

approval. By leveraging the power and reputation of its brand, Cargill indirectly expresses support for the regime's actions, which could be interpreted as condoning the war. The continued presence of Cargill in the Russian market creates a perceived association between the company and the Russian government, which may not align with the values of its customers.

-How is Cargill ensuring that it does not align itself and the names of its brands with the war and the Putin regime?

- 10. Other companies have faced legal, administrative, and ethical challenges and still committed to, and exited, Russia. Cargill has decided to stay in the country, even after over one year since the start of the war, over 90,000 reported war crimes, over 24,000 Ukrainian civilians killed or injured, and with the head of the Russian state indicted by the International Criminal Court for alleged war crimes, namely the abduction of Ukrainian children.
 - -Considering these developments and the rising number of reported human rights abuses and war crimes, has Cargill considered fully exiting Russia so as not to be even indirectly or remotely associated with these crimes?

These activities in Russia risk enabling and financing Russia's violations of IHL and human rights law during the ongoing invasion and occupation of Ukraine.

In consideration of the above points, we are asking Cargill to:

- Immediately cease all operations and presence in Russia and completely exit the Russian market.
- Refrain from any future business, trade, or investment in Russia until Russia ends its war in Ukraine, territorial integrity of Ukraine is restored, and accountability imposed for war crimes and the destruction of Ukrainian infrastructure and property.
- Establish and implement comprehensive human rights due diligence measures for any responsible exit from or re-engagement with Russia.

We request an urgent dialogue with Cargill's relevant senior management and staff to discuss the company's ongoing activities and relationships in Russia, associated risks to the people of Ukraine and the company, and potential steps to prevent/mitigate these risks. Please contact the B4Ukraine Coalition at contact@b4ukraine.org to schedule a call. We kindly ask for your response by 5:00pm CET, June 30th, 2023.

Sincerely,			
The B4Ukraine Coalition			



Brian Sikes Chief Executive Officer Cargill, Incorporated PO Box 9300 Minneapolis, MN 55440-9300

CC: Cargill's Executive Team and Board of Directors

January 16, 2023

RE: Cargill Incorporated operations in Russia

Dear Mr. Sikes and Cargill Leadership Team,

We write to you as <u>B4Ukraine</u>, a coalition of Ukrainian and international civil society organizations working to curtail the financial resources enabling the Russian invasion of Ukraine. We expect companies to demonstrate public support for the people, democracy, and territorial integrity of Ukraine, opposition to Russia's war of aggression, alignment with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and the international rules-based order.

We request an urgent dialogue regarding potential inconsistencies between Cargill Incorporated's (Cargill) obligations under international humanitarian and human rights law, as articulated by the UNGPs, and the company's ongoing business operations and relationships in Russia that may contribute to, or be linked with, human rights harms.

As declared in Cargill's Commitment on Human Rights:1

We promote and respect human rights as outlined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Sustainable Development Goals. We are a participant in the UN Global Compact. We take guidance from the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights and the International Labour Organisation Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. These frameworks call on us to engage in due diligence and take action to remedy issues where identified in our workplaces, supply chains and extended communities.

Cargill's commitment to human rights is further confirmed in the company's Guiding Principles and Code of Conduct,² as well as the 2022 ESG Report³ which clearly states:

¹ Cargill, "Cargill Commitment on Human Rights," February 2019, https://www.cargill.com/doc/1432136529974/cargill-commitment-on-human-rights.pdf (accessed December 5, 2022)

<sup>5, 2022).

&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cargill, "Our Guiding Principles Cargill Code of Conduct," 2022,

https://www.cargill.com/doc/1432076403017/guiding-principles-en.pdf (accessed December 5, 2022).

³ Cargill, "2022 ESG Report," 2022, https://www.cargill.com/sustainability/2022-esg-report (accessed December 5, 2022).

We take guidance from international standards and declarations, including the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), the International Bill of Human Rights, and the International Labour Organization (ILO) Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. As a signatory company of the United Nations Global Compact, we also are committed to promoting human rights.

It has been more than ten months since Russia invaded Ukraine and the devastating impacts continue to shock the world. Russia is committing war crimes and crimes against humanity through attacks on civilians and civilian infrastructure, including mass executions, the bombing of schools, hospitals, and apartment buildings, sexual violence, torture, and forcible transfer of civilians. More than 18,000 Ukrainians have been killed and injured and millions more have been forced to flee their homes, creating one of the largest humanitarian and refugee crises of modern times.

On September 21, President Vladimir Putin escalated the war by announcing a "partial mobilisation" of the Russian population. The accompanying legislation (Article 9 of Federal Law No. 31-FZ) mandates all organisations, including the 1,500 international companies that are currently operating on a full or limited scale in Russia, to conduct military registration of the staff if at least one of the employees is eligible for military service. They must also assist with delivering the military summons to their employees, ensure the delivery of equipment to assembly points or military units, and provide information, buildings, communications, land plots, transport, and other material means of support to the war effort. Companies may also be exposed to financially material risks through operational restrictions, such as limitations of future government contracts.

This legislation entails new and significant legal risks for companies remaining in Russia, including potential civil and criminal liability under comprehensive sanctions regimes and recent international jurisprudence holding corporations and their officers responsible for human rights abuses abroad.⁶

https://www.justsecurity.org/78097/corporate-criminal-liability-for-human-rights-violations-france-and-sweden-are-poised-to-take-historic-steps-forward/ (accessed September 26, 2022); The Sentry, "Breaking: France Opens War Crimes Inquiry Focused on Iconic Food and Beverage Conglomerate," July 1, 2022, https://thesentry.org/2022/07/01/7216/breaking-france-opens-war-crimes-inquiry-focused-iconic-food-beverage-conglomerate/ (accessed September 26, 2022); Rfi, "French technology firm charged over Libya cyber-spying," July 2, 2022, https://www.rfi.fr/en/business-and-tech/20210701-french-tech-firm-charged-over-libya-cyber-spying (accessed September 26, 2022); Preston Lim, "Canadian Supreme Court Allows Corporate Liability for International Law Violations," Lawfare, March 12, 2022,

 $\underline{\text{https://www.lawfareblog.com/canadian-supreme-court-allows-corporate-liability-international-law-violations}}$

⁴ Federal Law No. 31-FZ of February 26, 1997 "On mobilization training and mobilization in the Russian Federation" (as amended), https://base.garant.ru/136945/ (accessed September 9, 2022).

⁵ Venable LLP, "Do You Contract with State Governments? If So, Beware of Emerging State Sanctions' Obligations Related to Russia and Belarus," *JD Supra*, June 3, 2022, https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/do-you-contract-with-state-governments-6537229/ (accessed September 26, 2022).

⁶ The new legislation creates significant risk of international companies violating sanctions regimes as providing direct services and goods to Russian actors involved in executing the invasion will likely involve transacting with sanctioned individuals or entities. Furthermore, several domestic civil and criminal cases against companies involved in violations of international law, including supporting governmental war crimes and crimes against humanity, demonstrate the risk of significant liability for facilitating state-sponsored human rights abuses abroad (e.g. Lafarge case, Lundin case, Castel Group indictment, Amesys Indictment, Nevsun holding, and Dassault Aviation, Thales, and MBDA France criminal complaint.) See, *France 24*, "French court upholds Syria 'complicity in crimes against humanity' charge against Lafarge," May 18, 2022, https://www.france24.com/en/live-news/20220518-paris-court-upholds-charges-of-complicity-in-crimes-against-humanity-linked-to-lafarge-s-cement-plant-in-syria (accessed September 26, 2022); Victoria Riello and Larissa Furtwengler, "Corporate Criminal Liability for International Crimes: France and Sweden Are Poised To Take Historic Steps Forward," Just Security, September 6, 2021,

In response to this unprovoked and unjustified war, more than 160 companies have fully left Russia with hundreds more taking steps to do so. Yet, according to the Kyiv School of Economics Institute's #LeaveRussia company tracker, while Cargill scaled back some operations in Russia, other areas of Cargill's business continue despite the mounting risks of enabling and financing Russia's violations of IHL and human rights law during the ongoing invasion and occupation of Ukraine.⁷

According to the March 11 Statement on Cargill Operations in Eastern Europe⁸ and March 30 Cargill statement on the situation in Eastern Europe,⁹ the company has stopped investment and scaled back some business activities, while food and feed facilities continue. However, Cargill has not specified exactly which products and facilities are continuing to operate in Russia, bringing into question Cargill's statements that it is only continuing "essential" work.¹⁰ Professor Jeffrey A Sonnenfeld of Yale University noted that the vague nature of Cargill's statements gives the "illusory" perception that the company is dedicated to opposing Russia's war, while continuing business as usual.¹¹

Prior to the war, the Ukrainian exports of wheat and grain were flourishing, while Russian exports were falling. As local media sources report, Russian exports increased dramatically because of the war, providing further financial resources to support Russia's unlawful invasion of Ukraine. ¹² Cargill is a key actor in this development.

Since the beginning of the invasion, Cargill has exported over 336,000 tons of grain from Russia, as well as large volumes of sunflower oil. After a Russian missile hit a Cargill chartered ship *Yasa Jupiter* in the Black Sea, the company responded by closing significant operations in Ukraine due to the security risks. However, at the same time, the company continued much larger business operations in Russia. With the soaring prices of wheat, maize, barley, and cereal, Cargill has

⁽accessed September 26, 2022); Sherpa, "Aiding and abetting war crimes in Yemen: Criminal complaint submitted against French arms companies," June 2, 2022, https://www.asso-sherpa.org/aiding-and-abetting-war-crimes-in-yemen-criminal-complaint-submitted-against-french-arms-companies (accessed September 26, 2022).

⁷ The UN Working Group on Transnational Corporations, Business, human rights and conflict-affected regions states: "Businesses are not neutral actors; their presence is not without impact. Even if business does not take a side in the conflict, the impact of their operations will necessarily influence conflict dynamics."

⁸ Cargill, "Statement on Cargill Operations in Eastern Europe," March 11, 2022, https://www.cargill.com/story/statement-on-cargill-operations-in-eastern-europe (accessed Decemb

https://www.cargill.com/story/statement-on-cargill-operations-in-eastern-europe (accessed December 5, 2022).

⁹ Cargill, "Cargill statement on situation in Eastern Europe," March 30, 2022,

https://www.cargill.com/story/cargill-statement-on-situation-in-ukraine (accessed December 5, 2022).

¹⁰ Meredith Lee, "'Too big to fail': White House careful not to target food companies as it pressures Putin," *Politico*, March 25, 2022, https://www.politico.com/news/2022/03/25/white-house-food-companies-russia-00020293 (accessed December 5, 2022).

¹¹ Sebastian Shehadi, "Are some companies just pretending to leave Russia?" *Investment Monitor*, June 13, 2022, https://www.investmentmonitor.ai/special-focus/ukraine-crisis/companies-pretending-leave-russia-investment (accessed December 5, 2022).

¹² Tom Ball, "Russia is winning battle to control the world's wheat," The Times, May 28, 2022, https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/russia-is-winning-battle-to-control-the-worlds-wheat-wzvctlhjm (accessed December 5, 2022).

¹³ Aine Quinn, "Trader Keeps Russian Wheat Flowing as War Sparks Food Dilemma," *Bloomberg*, July 28, 2022, https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2022-07-28/trader-keeps-russian-wheat-flowing-as-war-sparks-food-dilemma (accessed December 5, 2022).

¹⁴ Chloe Sorvino, "Cargill Dodges Russian Missiles But Vows To Keep Feeding Both Sides Of The Ukraine War," Forbes, March 29, 2022, https://www.forbes.com/sites/chloesorvino/2022/03/29/cargill-dodges-russian-missiles-but-vows-to-keep-feeding-both-sides-of-the-ukraine-war/?sh=7657b03c795c (accessed December 5, 2022).

announced record profits, with the 2022 fiscal year revenue jumping by 23 percent from the previous year to \$165 billion. 15

Cargill is also one of the 100 largest companies in Russia by revenue and has at least four operating plants in Russia, with at least 1,500 employees in Russia. As such, Cargill contributes approximately \$18 million to the Russian economy. This makes Cargill a significant taxpayer in the country and therefore the company risks indirectly enabling and financing Russia's violations of IHL and human rights law during the ongoing invasion and occupation of Ukraine and violating its own human rights commitments. It remains to be seen how directly Cargill will be impacted by the partial mobilisation and the heightened legal, regulatory, operational, and financial risks associated with companies being required to provide direct support to the internationally sanctioned Russian military.

We seek to understand the status of Cargill's exposure to Russia and how it has conducted and continues to conduct heightened human rights due diligence, per its stated policy and the UNGPs concerning due diligence in conflict-affected areas, and how the findings of such a process has resulted in these continued business activities and relationships. As noted by the UNGPs:

...the more severe the abuse, the more quickly the enterprise will need to see change before it takes a decision on whether it should end the relationship. In any case, for as long as the abuse continues and the enterprise remains in the relationship, it should be able to demonstrate its own ongoing efforts to mitigate the impact and be prepared to accept any consequences – reputational, financial or legal – of the continuing connection.

In consideration of the above points and B4Ukraine's <u>Declaration</u>, we request an urgent dialogue with Cargill's relevant senior management and staff to discuss the company's ongoing activities and relationships in Russia, associated risks to the people of Ukraine and the company, and potential steps to prevent/mitigate these risks. Please contact B4Ukraine at <u>contact@b4ukraine.org</u> to schedule a call. We kindly ask for your response by 5:00pm CET, January 30th, 2023.

Please do not hesitate to get in touch if you require any further information.	

The B4Ukraine Coalition

Sincerely,

¹⁵ Karl Plume, "Cargill fiscal 2022 revenue jumps 23% to record \$165 billion," Reuter, August 9, 2022, https://www.reuters.com/markets/commodities/cargill-fiscal-2022-revenue-jumps-23-165-billion-2022-08-10/ (accessed December 5, 2022).

¹⁶ KSE Institute, "Cargill," https://leave-russia.org/cargill (accessed December 5, 2022).

¹⁷ Ibid.